



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_7589
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Energy Sector and Wind Power Potential in Malaysia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 20: "southwest monsoon blows or flows" Line 21: [1,2] Line 24: "known to be a new industrially developed" Line 37 [6,7] Line 48: the statement "The main reason that smoothen the progress of wind energy is climatic changes that seriously threaten the world...." is not clear. Please rewrite. Line 49, 50: "which will lead to the world temperature increase to 58°C during the next ten decades....." from what value??? Line 58: in Figure 1, the minimum value is not in 1997. Please check. Table 2: wind energy is not included in this projection. Is there no prospect for energy from wind in the future?? Line78: "from the Figure.." which Figure? Line 91: marked reduction in what? This statement is awkward Line 98, 99: "an significant....." please rewrite Line 100: what is BP? Define please. Line 117: "85,000Wh/year" reconsider the unit please Line 120: remove "were" Line 125: Table 7 or Table 3? Line 126: [23, 24]	Correction affected Done A newly industrial nation it has maintained Corrected -do- -do- -do- Values varies depending on the country reference 1971-1974 rephrase No wind energy projection from the source Figure inserted The statement has been change accordingly The sentence has also reframe BP is an organization conduct the survey Change to 85kWh/year Done Table 3 Corrected -do- The entire paragraph has been change The table reported the values achieved from various



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 3 title: ...towns ... Line 241: software cannot suggest anything. Rather, the results of analysis using the software showed that..... Table 11: wind potential lesser than 50 W/m² does not present a good prospect. Line 271: it is evident from this review that the country is heavily dependent on.... Reference: the author should ensure necessary compliance with the journal's referencing standards.	research conducted The sentence has also been change All the references are thoroughly check to ensure they are adhere with the journal format
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	English needs major improvement. The manner of expression does not reflect author's competence in English.	The grammatical errors have been check and corrected as directed. Examples check line: 6,24,25,27,29,35,38,42,51,55,56,78,92,98,101,107,114,119,128,135,137.....285